

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,740	08/01/2003	Laurent Bellaiche	8793-52026	3856	
44692 7	7590 09/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WRIGHT, LINDSEY & JENNINGS LLP			KOSLOW, CAROL M		
	APITOL AVENUE, SUI K., AR 72201-3699	TE 2300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	<del>.</del>	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	$\wedge$ 1		<i>\</i> ~
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,740	BELLAICHE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	<u>5 August 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 3	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. Tents have been received in A Depriority documents have been Teau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/24/05</u>.</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	)

W

Application/Control Number: 10/632,740

Art Unit: 1755

This action is in response to applicants' amendment of 5 August 2005. The amendments to the claims have overcome the objection to the specification and the rejections over claims 1-11. The rejection over the article by George et al is withdrawn since it is not clear if the material of this article has the claimed compositional formula. The art rejection over the abstract and slides of the presentation given in February 2001 have been modified in view of the amendments to the claims Applicant's arguments with respect to the art rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged. The provisional application upon which priority is claimed provides adequate support under 35 U.S.C. 112 for claim 13 of this application.

Applicant's election by originally presentation of claim 13 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim 12 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. The Swedish reference cited in Information Disclosure Statement of 24 January 2005 has a line drawn through it since the Examiner has considered its English equivalent. Applicants are reminded that an Examiner need only consider one member of a patent family.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the abstract and slides of the presentation given in February 2001.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,740

Art Unit: 1755

These references teach a lead perovskite ferroelectric material which are ordered along the [001] direction. Slides 10 and 15 teach the taught material has the same planar composition and formula as that claimed, where A has the same meaning as v in the claims and the same range as that disclosed in [0014] of the specification. Thus the taught material is identical to that claimed. Therefore, it must inherently have the claimed properties over the same temperature range, absent any showing to the contrary. If the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The reference clearly teaches the claimed material.

The fact the slides measure properties at 50 K does not overcome the rejections since the taught and claimed compositions are identical and thus would inherently have the claimed properties over the claimed temperature range. Applicants have not presented any evidence that the taught material and claimed material are different. The rejection is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,740

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk September 23, 2005

C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700 Page 4